



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,038	11/12/2001	Sunao Takatori	2222.6080000	1387
26111	7590	02/13/2008	EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			HALIYUR, VENKATESH N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/054,038	TAKATORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Venkatesh Haliyur	2619	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Venkatesh Haliyur (Examiner, USPTO). (3) \_\_\_\_\_
- (2) Jason Eisenberg (Reg #: 43,447, Applicant's rep). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 29 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1-10.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative expressed that Advisory action of 01/23/2008 issued by the office was premature as the final office action issued by the office on 10/09/07 was improper for claims 7-10 (See remarks of 1/07/08) and requested that the finality be withdrawn. However, examiner informed the applicant's representative that the after final amendment submitted on 01/07/2008 for claims 1-6 and new claims 11-19 required new search as it changed the scope of the original claims and therefore the office issued an Advisory Action on 01/23/08. Examiner and the applicant's representative agreed that applicants will resubmit the last pending claims and arguments of 07/17/2007 in response to Advisory Action and the office will issue a proper final action.

dlw

1/31/08

EDAN . ORGAD  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

*Edan Orgad*